


GEOLOGY

The 2018-21, 2019-22 and 2017-20 batches were/are taught credit based course. Average student were around 28 per batch, with 3 teachers looking after their academic needs. Geology being a field subject, many students opted the course for the possibility of getting field exposure, and better placement opportunities. The responses of students on the following aspects are given below;

1. If the Curriculum is satisfactory?

- 15% have graded it as good
- 46% have graded it as above average
- 30% have graded it as average
- 8 % have graded it as below average
- 2 % have graded it as poor.



1---> Poor
2 ---> Below Avg
3---> Avg
4---> Above Avg
5--->Good


Dr. S. Sai
HOD, Dept. of Geology



[Signature]
23/2/2021
Principal
Sree Narayana College
Chempazhanthy


2. If the Curriculum has made you competent in communicative skills, technical skills and knowledge in the subject Geology?

17% have graded it as good
31% have graded it as above average
31% have graded it as average
11% graded it as below average and
9% have graded it as poor.




3. Whether the Curriculum is enriched by various activities like Seminars, invited lectures, Industrial/ field visits, group discussions and debates, projects, quiz, competitions etc?

20% have graded it as good
34% have graded it as above average
28% have graded it as average
13% graded it as below average and
5% have graded it as poor.



4. If the Course content has further enhanced my Knowledge and interest in the subject?



25% have graded it as good
46% have graded it as above average
21% have graded it as average
7% graded it as below average and
2% have graded it as poor.



S. Saji
Dr. S. Saji
HOD, Dept. of Geology



5. Did the Course content builds up aptitude and possibilities for research?

31% have graded it as good
 33% have graded it as above average
 23% have graded it as average
 11% graded it as below average and
 2% have graded it as poor.





6. Whether the portions are taught as per the syllabus within the allotted time period?

28% have graded it as good
 43% have graded it as above average
 15% have graded it as average
 13% graded it as below average and
 2% have graded it as poor, in this aspect.



7. If the Curriculum addresses issues like Gender, Environment, Sustainability, Human Values and Professional Ethics?

16% have graded it as good
 46% have graded it as above average
 18% have graded it as average
 15% graded it as below average and
 5% have graded it as poor.



S. S. Saji
 Dr. S. S. Saji
 Dept. of Geology

8. Whether the Course content builds up aptitude and possibilities for research?



21% have graded it as good

38% have graded it as above average

26% have graded it as average

15% graded it as below average and

0% have graded it as poor.




9. Suggestions for further improvement

- Semester system needs to be changed
- Need more advanced syllabus with better technical training.
- More field exposure is needed, at least one per year of course.
- Weekly test papers need to be conducted.
- Innovative teaching should be used.
- Training in software's used in geological studies should be included.

Feedback Analysis Report

Majority of the students have indicated an above average satisfaction considering all aspects of the outcome they obtained from the curriculum, as shown in the below graph (Fig. 1).



Dr. S. Soji
 HOD, Dept. of Geology

Fig.1 Syllabus Satisfaction plot of students

The students have given average satisfaction and full satisfaction in a 20-25% range. This indicates an immediate requirement of a plan for reaching to above average level. In second plan should involve steps to attain to the fully satisfaction level.

Saji
Dr. S. Saji
HOD, Dept. of Geology



[Handwritten signature]
Principal
Sree Narayana College
Chempazhanthu